## ORIGINA EXCEPTION



12R0

**COMMISSIONERS** 1 **OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM** 2 RECEIVED JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 3 MARC SPITZER 2006 JAN -9 P 3:56 4 **MIKE GLEASON** KRISTIN K. MAYES AZ CORP COMMISSION 5 DOCUMENT CONTROL 6 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 7 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION DOCKET NO. W-04264A-04-0438 OF WOODRUFF WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE 10 AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER DOCKET NO. SW-04265A-04-0439 SERVICE IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA. 11 Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4406 (602) 364-7000 12 DOCKET NO. W-01445A-04-0755 13 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WOODRUFF UTILITY COMPANY, 14 INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO 15 **EXCEPTIONS TO** PROVIDE SEWER SERVICE IN PINAL RECOMMENDED OPINION AND 16 COUNTY, ARIZONA. **ORDER** 17 18 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 19 OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, TO EXTEND 20 ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AT 21 CASA GRANDE AND COOLIDGE, PINAL 22 COUNTY, ARIZONA. 23 Pursuant to the December 13, 2005 notice from Executive Director Brian C. McNeil 24 25 and subsequent procedural order, Arizona Water Company hereby files its exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern issued on 26 27 December 13, 2005.

BRYAN CAVE LLP

28

Arizona Water Company believes that the proposed findings and conclusions are well-founded and well-supported in fact and law, given the thoroughness of the record and positions presented by the parties. Even so, Arizona Water Company invites the Commission to take the opportunity to affirm its policies and procedures to avoid the proliferation of non-viable water systems. Additionally, Arizona Water Company submits a few minor non-substantive exceptions designed to clarify and correct the Recommended Opinion and Order before it is adopted by the Commission. Nevertheless, the result in this thoroughly considered matter is appropriate and correct, and the Recommended Order should be forthwith granted by the Commission.

- 1. Findings of Fact, ¶ 38, p.10, l. 28: "quality" should be "quantity."
- 2. <u>Findings of Fact ¶¶ 69, 70 and 114</u>: These proposed findings relate to Commission Decision No. 62993 (November 3, 2000), which approved Staff recommendations for avoiding the proliferation of non-viable water systems by requiring that:

The application for a new CC&N must show that an existing water company cannot or will not serve the area being applied for. This showing must be made by submitting service rejection letters from all of the "A" size water companies in the state (there are 3) and at least five of the "B" size companies (there are 20). The five B size companies contacted should include the B size companies that are geographically closest to the applicant. The application must also be accompanied by service rejection letters from all existing water companies within five miles of the area being requested. In addition, the rejection letters must be accompanied by the corresponding request for service that was made to each of the existing water companies by the applicant.

Decision No. 62993, Finding of Fact No. 8a (November 3, 2000). This Finding of Fact was approved in the Decision: "THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Commission approve Staff's recommendations in the above findings of fact." Decision No. 62993, ordering paragraph number one, page 12.

533151.2:0182563

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In adopting the Recommended Opinion and Order, the Commission should also take the opportunity to affirm that this decision in this case is fully consistent with the general policy principles which the Commission approved in Decision No. 62993, which favor water service being provided to new territory by existing Class A water companies (like Arizona Water Company in this case) that are geographically closest to that territory.

Accordingly, the Commission should include the following as part of its final order: This decision is fully consistent with the policy principles set forth in Finding of Fact No. 8 approved by the Commission in Decision No.

- 3. Proposed Findings of Fact ¶ 82 and 102 (p. 17, 1. 4 and p. 19, 1. 22): The new minimum arsenic standard is 10 ppb, not 5 ppb.
- 4. Proposed Findings of Fact ¶ 109 (p. 20, 1. 13): The word "initially" should be added in between "should" and "fund", in order to properly describe the financing methods proposed by Arizona Water Company.

DATED this 41 day of January, 2006.

62993, dated November 3, 2000.

**BRYAN CAVE LLP** 

By\_

Steven A. Hirsch, #006360

Rodney W. Ott, #016686

Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200

Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406

Attorneys for Arizona Water Company

**ORIGINAL** and 17 **COPIES** of the foregoing filed this 9th day of January, 2006 with:

Docket Control Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	
1	
2	COPY of the foregoing hand-
	delivered or mailed this 9th day of January, 2006 to:
3	or sandary, 2000 to.
4	Marc E. Stern
5	Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division
6	Arizona Corporation Commission
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8	Diane M. Targovnik
9	Assistant Counsel
l	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
10	1200 West Washington
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Jeffrey W. Crockett
13	Snell & Wilmer
14	400 E. Van Buren
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
15	Marvin Cohen
16	Sacks Tierney
17	4250 N. Drinkwater Boulevard  4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
18	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
19	Attorneys for Woodruff Water Company, Inc. And Woodruff Utility Company, Inc.
20	D 1 P4 11
21	Denis Fitzgibbons Coolidge City Attorney
22	711 E. Cottonwood, Suite E
	Casa Grande, Arizona 852301208
23	Raymond S. Heyman
24	Michael W. Patten
25	Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC
26	400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
27	Attorneys for Pulte Home Corporation
28	Ernest G. Johnson

1 2	Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	Ursula H. Gordwin
5	Casa Grande Assistant City Attorney 510 E. Florence Boulevard
6	Casa Grande, Arizona 85222
7	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
8	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington Street
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	11 Johnda M. Enery
12	1 Jennew M. Chang
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	<del>-</del>